

Table 1: Characteristics of the studies on SOS Children's Villages and child development

Study	Country	Age	N <sub>SOS</sub>	N <sub>comp</sub>	Comparison	SOS funded	Design	Domain	Measure	Effect size d/ r	Risk <sup>2</sup> of bias
Vallejo-Slocker et al., 2020	Spain	13.1	221	238	family	yes	unmatched	Behavior problems	SDQ	0 <sup>1</sup> /0	3
								Quality of life	Kid screen-10	0 <sup>1</sup> /0	3
Lassi et al., 2011	Pakistan	11.5	126	204	institution	no	unmatched	Growth <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stunted</li> <li>• Malnourishment</li> <li>• Wasting</li> </ul>	NCHS criteria	-0.67/-.32 -0.63/-.30 0 <sup>1</sup> /0	1
Giagazoglou et al., 2012	Greece	4.5	20	28	institution	no	matched	Behavior problems	SDQ	0.26/.13	1
				48	family		matched	Psychomotor development	Griffiths	1.75/.66	1
Worku et al., 2018	Ethiopia	3.8	62	62	family	no	matched	Psychomotor development	Denver	-0.62/-.30	0
								Behavior Problems	ASQ:SE	-1.22/-.52	0
								Growth		-1.60 <sup>1</sup> /-.62	1
Matejcek et al., 1995, 1996	Czech Republic	Adult	116	93	family	yes	unmatched	Mental health	Missing	-0.27/-.13	2
Diaz-Orahulio et al., 2014	Peru	7.5	37	--	--	no	Compared to norm	Malnutrition	WHO	-0.67 <sup>1</sup> /-.32	3
Mattos-Vela et al., 2017	Peru	10.5	224	--	--	no	Compared to norm	Dental health	WHO; Debris Index	-0.26 <sup>1</sup> /-.13	2
Ivanisevic et al., 2017	Croatia	11.5	88	--	--	no	Compared to norm	Dental Health	SiC index	0.42 <sup>1</sup> /.21	3

<sup>1</sup>Estimated. <sup>2</sup>higher scores indicate more risks of bias (see text)